

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/475,093	12/30/1999	Matthew D. Halfant	GENSP034	. 3180		
22434 75	590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER			
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS, LLF	HUYNH, CONG LAC T				
P.O. BOX 7025	50					
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•		2178				
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/475,09	93	HALFANT, MAT	HALFANT, MATTHEW D.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cong-Lac	Huynh	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evolation. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a reply If expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 28 August 2006	i.					
· —	·	☐ This action is n						
′=	,—							
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>42-47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-41</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
· · ·	•	/aminer						
· ·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)(a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			1100 00p100 1101 101	5517 5 4.				
Attachmen	' '							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	240)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	940)		mal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Response to Restriction Requirement filed on 8/26/06 to the application filed on 12/30/99.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 21-41) in the reply filed on 8/26/06 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 21-47 are pending in the case. Claims 42-47 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 21, 28, 35, 42 are independent claims.
- 4. The objections of claims 24 and 38 have been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 21-41 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding independent claim 21, the feature "enhancing the selected video frame by incorporating information included in the other digital video frames into the particular digital video frame" is not disclosed in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,093 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

The specification shows taking a number of MPEG frames from the MPEG video stream to create a processed frame where the MPEG video stream has movement information embedded within it (page 8). The specification further discloses "once the video frames and movement information are available, the process of image enhancement of a block can continue" (page 9). As such, the movement information is already included the frames of the MPEG video stream. So, enhancing a frame selected from said video stream by *incorporating information included in other video frames* appears to be redundant since the video frames already have the movement information.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 23, 30, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claim 23 recites the limitation "the associated digital video frames" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 30 recites the limitation "the associated digital video frames" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 37 recites the limitation "the associated digital video frames" in line 3.
 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,093 Page 4

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 21-41 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over deCarmo et al. (US Pat No 6,415,101, 7/2/02, filed 7/27/98) in view of Rangan et al. (US Pat No. 6,493,872, filed 10/7/98).

Regarding independent claim 21, deCarmo discloses:

- selecting from the single layer digital video stream, a particular one of the digital video frames for enhancement (col 2, lines 28-67, col 6, lines 43-54: selecting one of multiple views from a video stream)
- selecting from the single digital video stream from which the particular one of the digital video frame for enhancement was selected, any others of the digital video frames (col 2, lines 28-67: selecting additional views from the same DVD content source from which the video frame is selected for enhancement)
- enhancing the selected video frame based upon information included in the other digital video frames and the particular digital video frame (col 2, lines 28-67: modifying the selected view for enhancing the view with different angles)

deCarmo does not disclose:

Art Unit: 2178

- enhancing the selected video frame by incorporating information included in the other digital video frames into the particular digital video frame
- displaying the enhanced digital video frame without reference to the other digital video frames

Rangan discloses:

- enhancing the selected video frame by incorporating information included in the other digital video frames into the particular digital video frame (col 20, line 65 to col 21, line 7: adding data of identified numbers of chosen frame intervals to video frames shows incorporating information included in the other digital video frames into the particular digital video frames for enhancing the video frames)
- displaying the enhanced digital video frame without reference to the other digital video frames (col 21, lines 1-18: the fact that the data stream is combined and resynchronized to be displayed for viewing as one annotated video stream shows that such enhanced video stream is displayed without any reference to the other video frames whose information is incorporated into the selected video frame)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Rangan into deCarmo since enhancing a video frame by incorporating information from other video frames in Rangan provides the advantage to include into deCarmo for producing an attractive video with a different way of combining raw material video frames from a video source.

Regarding claim 22, which is dependent on claim 21, deCarmo discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/475,093

Art Unit: 2178

- obtaining movement information for the selected digital video frame and the other digital video frames (col 2, lines 28-67: the angle block supplied on DVD content is movement information for the selected view)

Regarding claim 23, which is dependent on claim 22, deCarmo discloses:

- identifying portions of the associated digital video frames corresponding to the portion to be enhanced (col 7, lines 31-60)
- enhancing the selected video segment by providing a higher quality image with the larger image (col 7, line 61 to col 8, line 23: resizing the view to provide large image)

deCarmo does not disclose:

 enhancing the portion by incorporating information included in the corresponding other video frame portion into the portion of the selected video frame

Rangan discloses:

enhancing the selected video frame by incorporating information included in the other digital video frames into the particular digital video frame (col 20, line 65 to col 21, line 7: adding data of identified numbers of chosen frame intervals to video frames shows incorporating information included in the other digital video frames into the particular digital video frames for enhancing the video frames)
Yould have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Rangan into deCarmo since enhancing a video frame by incorporating information from other video frames in Rangan provides the advantage to

Art Unit: 2178

include into deCarmo for producing an attractive video with a different way of combining raw material video frames from a video source.

Regarding claim 24, which is dependent on claim 23, deCarmo discloses:

- enhancing different segments of the video content (col 7, line 61 to col 8, line 23)
- selecting another of the stream of digital video frames for enhancement when the enhancement is complete (col 8; lines 24-42)
- continuing the selecting until all of the selected digital video frames, or portions thereof, have been enhanced (figure 7, col 8, lines 43-67)

Regarding claim 25, which is dependent on claim 24, deCarmo discloses manipulating selected ones of the enhanced digital video frames (col 7, line 61 to col 8, line 23).

Regarding claim 26, which is dependent on claim 22, deCarmo discloses manipulating is selected from a group comprising: a zoom operation, a contrast enhancement operation, a luminance control operation, a color adjustment operation, a gamma correction operation, an image sharpening operation, and a color saturation operation (col 7, line 61 to col 8, line 23: manipulating by resizing inherently includes the zoom operation).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 27, which is dependent on claim 26, deCarmo discloses that the method is executed by a processor unit included in a digital video disc (DVD) player (col 2, lines 27-32, col 6, lines 43-48).

Claims 28-30, 32-34 are for a computer program product of method claims 21-23, 25-27, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 31 and 38 are for a computer program product and an apparatus of method claims 21-23, 25-27, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 35-37, 39-41 are for an apparatus of method claims 21-23, 25-27, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/475,093 Page 9

Art Unit: 2178

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Alexander (US 6,067,126, 05-2000). Stinebruner (US 6,133,910, 10-2000). Zhang et al. (US 6,185,329, 02-2001). Zhang et al. (US 6,298,145, 10-2001).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4125.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Conglatingh

CONG LAC HUYNH

PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/30/06